

# **Supplementary Information for**

## Evidence that coronavirus superspreading is fat-tailed

Felix Wong<sup>1,2</sup> and James J. Collins<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Medical Engineering & Science and Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. <sup>2</sup>Infectious Disease and Microbiane Program, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142

<sup>2</sup>Infectious Disease and Microbiome Program, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

\*Email: jimjc@mit.edu

### This PDF file includes:

Extended Methods SI References

#### **Extended Methods**

The Zipf plot shown in Fig.1C of the main text is a log-log plot of the survival function against the number of secondary cases, and the linearly decreasing behavior it shows suggests a power-law scaling of the form  $Pr(Z>t) \sim t^{\alpha}$  for large *t*. The value of the power-law coefficient,  $\alpha \approx 1.45$  (95% CI: [1.38,1.51]), is greater than 1. Equivalently, this observation indicates that the tails of *Z*—as quantified by the threshold exceedance values  $\{Z_i - u | Z_i \ge u\}$ —can be described by the generalized Pareto distribution, with corresponding tail index  $\xi = 1/\alpha \approx 0.7$  (95% CI: [0.62,0.76]). That  $\xi \le 1$  is significant, since all moments higher than  $1/\xi$  diverge for a generalized Pareto distribution (1).

The Zipf plot can be complemented by computing the mean excess function of *Z*,  $e(u)=E(Z-u|Z\geq u)$ , which for a generalized Pareto distribution is linear in *u* with slope  $\xi/(1-\xi)$  (1). Hence, checking for linearity in a plot of *u* against e(u) — a mean excess plot — above some threshold *u* allows one to verify the existence of fat tails. We observed in a meplot that for u>10, e(u) indeed increases approximately linearly with a slope of ~1.11 (Fig.1D; 95% CI: [1.02,1.20]; adjusted  $R^2$ : 0.91), suggesting a value of  $\xi\approx 0.5$ , which is qualitatively consistent with the Zipf plot of Fig.1C of the main text.

The Hill estimator of the tail index  $\xi$  is

$$\hat{\xi}(k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log (Z_{i,n}/Z_{k,n}),$$

where  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $Z_{n,n} \le Z_{n-1,n} \le ... \le Z_{1,n}$  are order statistics of the sample {*Z<sub>i</sub>*}. Plotting  $\hat{\xi}$  against *k*, we find that the value of  $\hat{\xi} \approx 0.6$  (95% CI: [0.4,1.0]) observed for a broad range of *k* is similar to the estimates above (Fig.1E of the main text). We found similar values of  $\hat{\xi}$  for two other estimators, the Pickands and Dekkers-Einmahl-de Haan estimators (1,2).

Finally, we note here that a negative binomial distribution of *Z*, with its exponential tail, would have predicted the distribution of SSEs to be Gumbel-like if each SSE were indeed a maximum of samples of *Z*. This assertion can be proven by verifying the conditions

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}P_j}{\sum_{n+1}^{\infty}P_j}=\text{const.},\qquad \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{n+2}^{\infty}\frac{P_j}{P_{n+1}}-\sum_{n+1}^{\infty}\frac{P_j}{P_n}=0,$$

where  $P_j$ =Pr(Z=j), sufficient for any discrete distribution to lie in a Gumbel-like domain of attraction (3). Thus, these considerations provide additional evidence suggesting that Z is not negative binomial.

### **SI References**

- 1. Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., and Mikosch, K. *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance.* Springer Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability (1997).
- 2. Wong, F. et al., Supporting code for the paper available online at https://github.com/felixjwong/superspreaders.
- 3. Anderson, C. W. Local limit theorems for the maxima of discrete random variables. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 88, 161-165 (1980).