



## A 21<sup>st</sup> Century Warming Threshold for Sustained Greenland Ice Sheet Mass Loss

**B. Noël<sup>1\*</sup>, L. van Kampenhout<sup>1\*</sup>, J. T. M. Lenaerts<sup>2</sup>, W. J. van de Berg<sup>1</sup>, M. R. van den Broeke<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, Utrecht University

<sup>2</sup> Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder

*\*Contributed equally to this work*

Corresponding author 1: Leo van Kampenhout ([L.vankampenhout@uu.nl](mailto:L.vankampenhout@uu.nl))

Corresponding author 2: Brice Noël ([B.P.Y.Noel@uu.nl](mailto:B.P.Y.Noel@uu.nl))

### Key Points:

- Greenland surface mass balance is projected at 1 km using dynamical and statistical downscaling.
- The surface mass balance becomes negative for a regional warming of  $4.5 \pm 0.3$  °C, compared to pre-industrial, and  $2.7 \pm 0.2$  °C globally.
- For a fully grounded Greenland ice sheet, this warming would represent the threshold for sustained mass loss.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1029/2020GL090471](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090471).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

## Abstract

Under anticipated future warming, the Greenland ice sheet (GrIS) will pass a threshold when meltwater runoff exceeds the accumulation of snow, resulting in a negative surface mass balance (SMB  $< 0$ ) and sustained mass loss. Here we dynamically and statistically downscale the outputs of an Earth system model to 1 km resolution to infer that a Greenland near-surface atmospheric warming of  $4.5 \pm 0.3$  °C—relative to pre-industrial—is required for GrIS SMB to become persistently negative. Climate models from CMIP5 and CMIP6 translate this regional temperature change to a global warming threshold of  $2.7 \pm 0.2$  °C. Under a high-end warming scenario, this threshold may be reached around 2055, while for a strong mitigation scenario it will likely not be passed. Depending on the emissions scenario taken, our method estimates a 6–13 cm sea level rise from GrIS SMB in the year 2100.

## Plain Language Summary

Under continued climate warming, the Greenland ice sheet will pass a threshold if summertime meltwater runoff outweighs winter snowfall, resulting in sustained surface mass loss. Here we project climate model data onto a 1 km resolution grid to show that the ice sheet will likely pass this threshold for a 4.5 °C Greenland atmospheric warming relative to pre-industrial (1850–1899), equivalent to a 2.7 °C global warming. Using additional model projections under high, middle and low emission scenarios, we find that the surface mass loss threshold could be passed in the mid-21<sup>st</sup> century under high-end warming scenarios, but likely not for strong mitigation (low emissions) ones. The same method can be used to estimate sea level rise from Greenland surface mass loss, which yields 6–13 cm in the year 2100, dependent on future emissions.

## 1 Introduction

Complete melting of the Greenland ice sheet (GrIS) would raise global mean sea level by 7.4 m (Morlighem et al., 2017). The rate of GrIS mass change, or mass balance (MB; Gigatonnes per year or  $\text{Gt yr}^{-1}$ ) equals surface mass balance (SMB) minus solid ice discharge across the grounding line (D):  $\text{MB} = \text{SMB} - \text{D}$ . Between 1972 and 2000, D was slightly larger than SMB ( $457 \pm 6 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$  vs.  $437 \pm 17 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ ) leading to moderate mass loss (Mouginot et al., 2019) that may have persisted throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Kjeldsen et al., 2015). After 2000, D increased owing to the acceleration of marine-terminating outlet glaciers (King et al., 2020) and SMB decreased following atmospheric warming that increased meltwater runoff. The resulting cumulative mass loss between 1992 and 2018 contributed  $1.1 \pm 0.1$  cm ( $13 \pm 1\%$ ) to global mean sea level rise (IMBIE, 2019). In the most recent decade (2007–2016), GrIS mass loss further increased to  $\sim 280 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$  (Bamber et al., 2018), mainly due to enhanced meltwater runoff. This mass loss amounts to  $\sim 40\%$  of the annual snow accumulation on the ice sheet ( $\sim 710 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ ), indicating that the GrIS is currently significantly out of balance, and making it the second largest contributor to contemporary global mean sea level rise after thermal expansion (Chambers et al., 2017; Oppenheimer et al., 2018).

SMB is an interesting parameter since it solely determines the fate of the GrIS once it becomes fully grounded, i.e.  $\text{MB} = \text{SMB}$  when  $\text{D} = 0$ , which may already happen within a few

centuries under a high warming scenario (Aschwanden et al., 2019). Assuming small changes in ice sheet geometry in the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Le clec'h et al., 2019),  $SMB = 0$  constitutes a threshold for mass loss, which is passed when meltwater runoff persistently exceeds snow accumulation, i.e. snowfall minus sublimation (Huybrechts et al., 1991; Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006). A negative GrIS SMB has never occurred since at least 1958, the first year with reliable reanalysis-based SMB estimates (Van den Broeke et al., 2016). Even for years with meltwater mass significantly exceeding total snow accumulation (e.g. 2012 and 2019), SMB has remained positive (Tedesco and Fettweis, 2019) because a large fraction (~45%) of the meltwater is retained in the firn, the up to 100 m thick layer of compressed, perennial snow covering ~90% of the ice sheet. In the firn layer, meltwater either refreezes as ice lenses/slabs (Machguth et al., 2018; McFerrin et al., 2019) or is retained as liquid water in perennial firn aquifers (Forster et al., 2014).

Simply extrapolating the recent negative trend into the future predicts the  $SMB = 0$  threshold to be reached within ~20 years (Van den Broeke et al., 2016). However, such predictions are uncertain given the relative brevity of the time series (Hanna et al., 2020) and the large interannual SMB variability (Wouters et al., 2013). Previous studies have relied on semi-empirical schemes such as positive-degree-day models (Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006) and idealised energy-balance models (Robinson et al., 2012) to project surface runoff and SMB into the future. Here we present a novel physically-based approach, using explicitly resolved surface energy balance from a state-of-the-art regional climate model (RACMO2.3p2) to dynamically downscale a CMIP6 projection from the Community Earth System Model (CESM2) under a high-end climate warming, further statistically downscaled to 1 km resolution. Uniquely, without any bias correction in the forcing, this physically-based model setup reproduces a realistic present-day GrIS SMB (Noël et al., 2020), and shows high correlation with the native CESM2 forcing, enabling us to project meaningful GrIS SMB under various CMIP6 warming scenarios after applying a small linear correction. Since GrIS geometrical changes and the associated (elevation-temperature) feedback are expected to remain small during the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Edwards et al., 2014; Le clec'h et al., 2019; Aschwanden et al., 2019), first-order inferences about the  $SMB = 0$  threshold can be made assuming constant ice sheet geometry.

## 2 Methods

Our main GrIS SMB product was obtained by dynamically downscaling the Community Earth System Model version 2.1 (CESM2 henceforth; Danabasoglu et al., 2020) over the period 1950-2100 using the regional climate model RACMO2.3p2 (RACMO2 henceforth; Noël et al., 2018). The most extreme warming scenario SSP5-8.5 was selected to obtain maximum leverage for correlations between SMB and regional temperature  $T_{GrIS}$  (see Supporting Information). To better resolve the high ablation rates over low-lying, narrow outlet glaciers that are primary contributors to meltwater runoff, RACMO2 SMB components were further statistically downscaled from 11 to 1 km resolution and the resulting product is referred to as “RACMO2 SSP5-8.5”. Statistical downscaling corrects daily melt and runoff for elevation bias on the relatively coarse 11 km grid using elevation gradients, and for underestimated bare ice albedo using remote sensing records (see Supporting Information). Table 1 provides an overview of the

various data products, including a benchmark reanalysis-based RACMO2 simulation (RACMO2 ERA henceforth) used for model evaluation and discussed in Noël et al. (2019).

Both RACMO2 (native resolution ~11 km; Noël et al., 2018) and CESM2 (native resolution ~111 km; van Kampenhout et al., 2020) have been extensively evaluated over the GrIS and compare well to in-situ measurements, although a better agreement is generally found for the high-resolution RACMO2 than for the relatively coarse CESM2 (Fettweis et al., 2020; Van Kampenhout et al., 2020). GrIS-integrated SMB, precipitation and runoff correlate well between the two models ( $R^2 = 0.96$ , Fig. S1). The limited but persistent deviations are mostly resolution-related. For instance, too gentle coastal ice sheet slopes in the low-resolution model topography of CESM2 allow moist air masses to propagate too far inland, which results in overestimated precipitation in the GrIS interior (Van Kampenhout et al., 2019). The inferred regression statistics (Fig. S1) are then used to correct SMB, precipitation, and runoff fields for all available CESM2 ensemble members (see Table 1, column 'Corrected SMB'), enabling us to reconstruct RACMO2-like SMB time series for other warming scenarios (see Supporting Information).

### 3 Results

The resulting time series are presented in Fig. 1a for SMB and in Fig. S2 for precipitation and runoff. Note that CESM2 is only forced with greenhouse gas and aerosol concentrations and does not assimilate observations, so that period averages, average interannual variability and trends can be compared to observations but not individual high/low SMB years. In spite of this, the historical ensemble members (grey; 1950-2014) agree well with the RACMO2 ERA SMB product (green line, see Table 1), including the relatively stable precipitation (Fig. S2a), the recent increase in runoff (Fig. S2b), and the subsequent decrease in SMB (Fig. 1a), resulting in a almost seamless transition into the subsequent scenario runs.

In all scenarios, the overall SMB signal is dominated by greater runoff (Fig. S2c) leading to an SMB decrease and surface-driven GrIS mass loss (Fig. 1a). Most runoff (~85% of the total) originates from the narrow and widening marginal ablation zone (Noël et al., 2019). In combination with the hypsometry of the ice sheet, which flattens inland, this leads to a stronger-than-linear increase in runoff with increasing temperature (Fig. S2b) and, since precipitation increases only linearly or not at all (Fig. S2a), leads to a nonlinear decrease in SMB (Fig. 1a). We find SSP5-8.5 as the only scenario in which precipitation increases significantly after 2015 (1.1 to 2.3 Gt yr<sup>-2</sup>,  $p < 0.05$ , Fig. S2a). The increase in rainfall (1.4 to 1.6 Gt yr<sup>-2</sup>,  $p < 0.05$ ) comes at the expense of snowfall (-0.3 to -0.6 Gt yr<sup>-2</sup>, insignificant  $p > 0.05$ ) with the rainfall fraction increasing at a rate of ~0.15% yr<sup>-2</sup>.

We consider the SMB = 0 threshold to be exceeded when the five-year moving average SMB becomes negative. Figure 1b displays five-year-averaged SMB as a function of the near-surface Greenland temperature anomaly ( $T_{\text{GrIS}}$ ) with respect to pre-industrial conditions (see

Supporting Information). The black points representing RACMO2 SSP5-8.5 roughly fall onto a single quadratic curve ( $R^2 = 0.90$ ) described by,

$$(1) \text{ SMB} \sim -10.2 T_{\text{GrIS}}^2 - 51.7 T_{\text{GrIS}} + 441.7$$

allowing us to determine a regional warming threshold for which GrIS SMB becomes negative:  $4.5 \pm 0.3$  °C (vertical red line in Fig. 1b). The uncertainty of 0.3 °C is based on the model SMB uncertainty of 48 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> derived from a comparison between SMB from in situ measurements and from the historical CESM2-forced RACMO2 simulation at 1 km (Noël et al., 2019) (see Supporting Information). The statistical uncertainty of the quadratic fit is comparatively small (< 0.1 °C calculated from a 68% confidence band) and therefore neglected. Furthermore, these results are robust under inclusion of the reconstructed CESM2 scenarios (coloured dots in Fig. 1b), which suggests that the nonlinear dependence of SMB on  $T_{\text{GrIS}}$  is independent of the actual scenario or ensemble member used (see Supporting Information). Note that the apparent discrepancy between RACMO2 and the CESM2 members under SSP5-8.5 in 2095-2100 (red and black dots in Fig. 1a and b) is due to internal variability in CESM2. Specifically, the CESM2 “parent” member forcing RACMO2 (not shown) has lower SMB than the two independent members in the figure.

Figure 2 shows a map of five-year average specific (local) surface mass balance (units kg m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> or mm w.e. (water equivalent) yr<sup>-1</sup>) that represents the situation at the 4.5 °C warming threshold, i.e. when  $\text{SMB} \approx 0$ . Ablation rates at the low-lying GrIS margins are enhanced by high atmospheric temperatures and the low albedo of bare ice that is exposed in the ablation zone during summer (Box et al., 2012), and in magnitude exceed the interior accumulation rates (Fig. 2). The ablation zone area increases from an average of 8 % of the contiguous GrIS area in the period 1961-1990 to 21 % in 2051-2055 (Fig. S3), when the  $\text{SMB} = 0$  threshold is reached. The strongest expansion occurs in the relatively dry southwest, from 13 to 32 % and in the northwest GrIS, from 7 to 19 %, in line with recent observations of bare ice zone expansion (Noël et al., 2019). Under an SSP5-8.5 warming scenario, the equilibrium line altitude rapidly migrates inland, expanding the GrIS ablation zone area in a nonlinear fashion throughout the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Fig. S3), in line with the RACMO2 ERA simulation (green line). Exposure of bare ice in growing ablation zones triggers a strong melt-albedo feedback, amplifying runoff (Fig. S2b) and driving the nonlinear SMB decline (Fig. 1a) as atmospheric temperature increases (Fig. 1b).

#### 4 Discussion

Previous work by Gregory and Huybrechts (2006) used contemporary climate model output and a degree-day model for ablation and found a regional warming threshold of  $4.5 \pm 0.9$  °C, similar to our results, and a global warming threshold of  $3.1 \pm 0.8$  °C. Using a linear regression between SMB from the Modèle Atmosphérique Régional (MAR) and annual mean  $T_{\text{GrIS}}$  from multiple General Circulation Models, Rae et al. (2012) found that a  $2.3 \pm 0.4$  °C warming relative to 1980-1989 is required for the GrIS to reach the  $\text{SMB} = 0$  threshold. In addition, Fettweis et al. (2013) used a cubic fit between MAR SMB and annual mean global temperature to show that the GrIS would pass the  $\text{SMB} = 0$  threshold for a 3°C warming relative to 1980-1989. Here we refine these estimates by combining recently developed state-of-the-art

modeling tools. However, the temperature threshold presented in this paper should be considered an upper bound for two reasons. First,  $SMB = 0$  does not consider marginal retreat of the ice sheet, a response that operates at time scales greater than the  $\sim 100$  years considered here. By coupling an intermediate complexity climate model with a dynamical ice sheet model, Robinson et al. (2012) found that the GrIS may already tip towards deglaciation at global temperature perturbations in the range  $0.8 - 3.2$  °C, with SMB initially above zero. Second, the ice sheet geometry in RACMO2 is held constant throughout the simulation, thereby ignoring dynamical thinning and the positive melt-elevation feedback. Fettweis et al. (2013) estimated that this feedback could cause an additional surface mass loss of  $8 \pm 5$  % towards the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, implying a lower temperature threshold for  $SMB = 0$  even on short time scales.

It is unclear by how much  $T_{GrIS}$  has already increased since the pre-industrial period. Observations from (mainly coastal) meteorological stations indicate a significant warming since the mid-1990s of  $\sim 5$  °C in winter and  $\sim 2$  °C in summer (Hanna et al., 2012). Here we consider ensemble-averaged  $T_{GrIS}$  anomalies from the CMIP5 (Fig. 3a) and CMIP6 (Fig. 3b) climate model archives, with the uncertainty band representing the inter-model standard deviation (see Fig. S4).  $T_{GrIS}$  from a hybrid ECMWF reanalysis product (Table 1) is scaled to the same baseline period (see Supporting Information) and is used as a proxy for observations, with the remark that reanalysis temperature products are not well constrained over the GrIS because of poorly represented snow/ice processes in the used land model and the scarcity of near-surface observations to assimilate.

In agreement with state-of-the-art GrIS SMB estimates, the  $SMB = 0$  warming threshold (indicated by the horizontal red bar, Fig. 3) has been reached in neither the reanalysis nor CMIP models up until today. Looking towards the future, the CMIP5 RCP8.5 ensemble-mean crosses the warming threshold in 2056, with a 66 % likely range of [2034 - 2073] with similar estimates for CMIP6 (mean 2060, likely range [2039 - 2079]). However, for the medium warming scenario (RCP4.5) the CMIP5 and CMIP6 archives disagree on the year of crossing. Whereas the CMIP5 ensemble mean crosses the threshold in 2125, the CMIP6 mean crosses four decades earlier in 2084, which could be attributed to the higher climate sensitivities of some CMIP6 models for the same amount of radiative forcing (Zelinka et al., 2020). For both ensembles, a likely range could not be calculated due to the flatness of the curves (Fig. 3). Finally, in the high mitigation scenario RCP2.6 the ensemble-mean remains below the warming threshold for the entire time period considered (Fig. 3). An overview of estimated year of crossing for all scenarios is provided in Table S1.

Using the CMIP ensembles, we can translate the regional threshold of  $4.5 \pm 0.3$  °C into a global temperature warming threshold. Figure 4 indicates near perfect correlation ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ) between  $T_{GrIS}$  and global average surface temperature,  $T_{global}$ , in the ensemble mean. The slope of the correlation yields a Greenland warming amplification of  $\sim 1.6$  in both CMIP5 and CMIP6, in line with a previous CMIP3 estimate of  $1.5 \pm 0.4$  K K<sup>-1</sup> (Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006). Results by Frieler et al. (2011) suggest that these results are robust when individual models are used,



rather than the ensemble mean. From the linear relationship in Fig. 4 we derive a  $T_{\text{global}}$  warming threshold of  $2.7 \pm 0.2$  °C, with respect to the pre-industrial, as a precursor for GrIS deglaciation under sustained warming.

It is important to note that the presented method is only valid for a fixed ice sheet geometry, an assumption that becomes questionable beyond 2100 (Fettweis et al., 2013). On these longer time scales, projections require a coupled atmosphere-ocean-ice sheet model system (Le clec'h et al., 2019). For the short term, however, we can apply the quadratic SMB -  $T_{\text{GrIS}}$  anomaly relation (Equation 1) to the CMIP5 and CMIP6 model ensembles to reconstruct 21<sup>st</sup> century SMB (Fig. S5a) and global mean sea level rise due to GrIS surface mass loss (Fig. S5b). Obviously, the largest sea level rise contributions are predicted for the strongest warming scenarios ( $\sim 13 \pm 4$  cm in 2100 for RCP8.5, Fig. S5b). Substantial contributions from GrIS surface mass loss are still expected for the strong mitigation scenarios ( $\sim 6 \pm 3$  cm in 2100 for RCP2.6) since persistent GrIS mass imbalance remains even when the warming threshold is not reached. For 2081-2100, Table S2 summarizes our global mean sea level estimates for the different scenarios and compares them against existing IPCC values. Our median estimates are consistently higher than those of IPCC, but the likely ranges have a large overlap. In summary, our study uses state-of-the-art SMB modelling tools for the Greenland ice sheet to show that the temperature threshold for SMB = 0 is likely to occur in all but the strong mitigation scenarios, and is particularly likely to occur in the upper-end warming scenarios.

## 5 Conclusions

In this study we explored possible pathways of future GrIS SMB by combining Earth system model output with dynamical and statistical downscaling techniques. For SMB = 0, we found a regional warming threshold of  $4.5 \pm 0.3$  °C compared to pre-industrial, which translates to a global warming threshold of  $2.7 \pm 0.2$  °C using climate model output from CMIP5 and CMIP6. Under high-end warming scenario RCP 8.5, SMB is projected to become persistently negative around 2055, while for the strong mitigation scenario RCP 2.6 the threshold for sustained mass loss is likely not reached. Our method estimates 6-13 cm of sea level rise by 2100, depending on the emissions scenario. Assuming that future sea level rise is to be curbed, our results underscore the need for a rapid stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations, especially since our estimates are conservative.

## Data

CESM2 data are freely available from the Earth System Grid Federation archive (<https://esgf.llnl.gov/nodes.html>), where also all CMIP5 and CMIP6 data can be found. Downscaled RACMO2.3p2 SSP5-8.5 projection and reconstructed CESM2-based SMB time series presented in the manuscript are available on Zenodo via DOI: XXX.

## Acknowledgments

B. Noël was funded by the NWO VENI grant VI.Veni.192.019. L. van Kampenhout, W. J. van de Berg and M. R. van den Broeke acknowledge funding from the Netherlands Earth

System Science Centre (NESSC). This publication was supported by PROTECT. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 869304, PROTECT contribution number XX. J. T. M. Lenaerts acknowledges funding from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Grant 80NSSC17K0565 (NASA Sea Level Team 2017–2020). The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Aschwanden, A., Fahnestock, M. A., Truffer, M., Brinkerhoff, D. J., Hock, R., Khroulev, C., et al. (2019). Contribution of the Greenland Ice Sheet to sea level over the next millennium. *Science Advances*, 5(6), eaav9396. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav9396>
- Bamber, J. L., Westaway, R. M., Marzeion, B., & Wouters, B. (2018). The land ice contribution to sea level during the satellite era. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(6), 063008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aac2f0>
- Box, J. E., Fettweis, X., Stroeve, J. C., Tedesco, M., Hall, D. K., & Steffen, K. (2012). Greenland ice sheet albedo feedback: thermodynamics and atmospheric drivers. *The Cryosphere*, 6(4), 821–839. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-821-2012>
- van den Broeke, M. R., Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B. P. Y., van de Berg, W. J., et al. (2016). On the recent contribution of the Greenland ice sheet to sea level change. *The Cryosphere*, 10(5), 1933–1946. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1933-2016>
- Calov, R., Beyer, S., Greve, R., Beckmann, J., Willeit, M., Kleiner, T., et al. (2018). Simulation of the future sea level contribution of Greenland with a new glacial system model. *The Cryosphere*, 12(10), 3097–3121. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3097-2018>
- Chambers, D. P., Cazenave, A., Champollion, N., Dieng, H., Llovel, W., Forsberg, R., et al. (2017). Evaluation of the Global Mean Sea Level Budget between 1993 and 2014. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 38(1), 309–327. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-016-9381-3>
- Cuffey, K. M., & Paterson, W. S. B. (2010). *The physics of glaciers* (4th edition). Amsterdam: Elsevier [u.a.].
- Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., et al. (2020). The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12(2), e2019MS001916. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916>
- Dang, C., Zender, C. S., & Flanner, M. G. (2019). Intercomparison and improvement of two-stream shortwave radiative transfer schemes in Earth system models for a unified treatment of cryospheric surfaces. *The Cryosphere*, 13(9), 2325–2343. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-2325-2019>
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., et al. (2011). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137(656), 553–597. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828>



- Edwards, T. L., Fettweis, X., Gagliardini, O., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Goelzer, H., Gregory, J. M., et al. (2014). Effect of uncertainty in surface mass balance--elevation feedback on projections of the future sea level contribution of the Greenland ice sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 8, 195–208.
- Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., & Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(5), 1937–1958. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016>
- Fettweis, X., Franco, B., Tedesco, M., van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T. M., van den Broeke, M. R., & Gallée, H. (2013). Estimating the Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance contribution to future sea level rise using the regional atmospheric climate model MAR. *The Cryosphere*, 7(2), 469–489. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-469-2013>
- Fettweis, X., Hofer, S., Krebs-Kanzow, U., Amory, C., Aoki, T., Berends, C. J., et al. (2020). GrSMBMIP: intercomparison of the modelled 1980–2012 surface mass balance over the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 14(11), 3935–3958. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3935-2020>
- Forster, R. R., Box, J. E., van den Broeke, M. R., Miège, C., Burgess, E. W., van Angelen, J. H., et al. (2013). Extensive liquid meltwater storage in firn within the Greenland ice sheet. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(2), 95–98. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2043>
- Frieler, K., Meinshausen, M., Mengel, M., Braun, N., & Hare, W. (2011). A Scaling Approach to Probabilistic Assessment of Regional Climate Change. *Journal of Climate*, 25(9), 3117–3144. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00199.1>
- Goelzer, H., Nowicki, S., Payne, A., Larour, E., Seroussi, H., Lipscomb, W. H., et al. (2020). The future sea-level contribution of the Greenland ice sheet: a multi-model ensemble study of ISMIP6. *The Cryosphere*, 14(9), 3071–3096. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3071-2020>
- Gregory, J. m., & Huybrechts, P. (2006). Ice-sheet contributions to future sea-level change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 364(1844), 1709–1732. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2006.1796>
- Hanna, E., Mernild, S. H., Cappelen, J., & Steffen, K. (2012). Recent warming in Greenland in a long-term instrumental (1881–2012) climatic context: I. Evaluation of surface air temperature records. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(4), 045404. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/045404>
- Hanna, E., Pattyn, F., Navarro, F., Favier, V., Goelzer, H., van den Broeke, M. R., et al. (2020). Mass balance of the ice sheets and glaciers – Progress since AR5 and challenges. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 201, 102976. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102976>
- Howat, I. M., Negrete, A., & Smith, B. E. (2014). The Greenland Ice Mapping Project (GIMP) land classification and surface elevation data sets. *The Cryosphere*, 8(4), 1509–1518. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1509-2014>
- Huybrechts, P., Letreguilly, A., & Reeh, N. (1991). The Greenland ice sheet and greenhouse warming. *Global and Planetary Change*, 3(4), 399–412. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181\(91\)90119-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181(91)90119-H)

IMBIE team. (2020). Mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2018. *Nature*, 579(7798), 233–239. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2>

van Kampenhout, L., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lipscomb, W. H., Sacks, W. J., Lawrence, D. M., Slater, A. G., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2017). Improving the Representation of Polar Snow and Firn in the Community Earth System Model. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 9(7), 2583–2600. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS000988>

van Kampenhout, L., Rhoades, A. M., Herrington, A. R., Zarzycki, C. M., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Sacks, W. J., & Broeke, M. R. van den. (2019). Regional grid refinement in an Earth system model: impacts on the simulated Greenland surface mass balance. *The Cryosphere*, 13(6), 1547–1564. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1547-2019>

King, M. D., Howat, I. M., Candela, S. G., Noh, M. J., Jeong, S., Noël, B. P. Y., et al. (2020). Dynamic ice loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet driven by sustained glacier retreat. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 1(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-0001-2>

Kjeldsen, K. K., Korsgaard, N. J., Bjørk, A. A., Khan, S. A., Box, J. E., Funder, S., et al. (2015). Spatial and temporal distribution of mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet since AD 1900. *Nature*, 528(7582), 396–400. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16183>

Le clec'h, S., Charbit, S., Quiquet, A., Fettweis, X., Dumas, C., Kageyama, M., et al. (2019). Assessment of the Greenland ice sheet--atmosphere feedbacks for the next century with a regional atmospheric model coupled to an ice sheet model. *The Cryosphere*, 13(1), 373–395. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-373-2019>

MacFerrin, M., Machguth, H., As, D. van, Charalampidis, C., Stevens, C. M., Heilig, A., et al. (2019). Rapid expansion of Greenland's low-permeability ice slabs. *Nature*, 573(7774), 403–407. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1550-3>

Machguth, H., MacFerrin, M., van As, D., Box, J. E., Charalampidis, C., Colgan, W., et al. (2016). Greenland meltwater storage in firn limited by near-surface ice formation. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(4), 390–393. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2899>

Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., et al. (2017). BedMachine v3: Complete Bed Topography and Ocean Bathymetry Mapping of Greenland From Multibeam Echo Sounding Combined With Mass Conservation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(21), 11,051–11,061. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954>

Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Bjørk, A. A., Broeke, M. van den, Millan, R., Morlighem, M., et al. (2019). Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(19), 9239–9244. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904242116>

Noël, B., van de Berg, W. J., van Wessem, J. M., van Meijgaard, E., van As, D., Lenaerts, J. T. M., et al. (2018). Modelling the climate and surface mass balance of polar ice sheets using RACMO2 – Part 1: Greenland (1958–2016). *The Cryosphere*, 12(3), 811–831. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-811-2018>

Noël, Brice, Berg, W. J. van de, Lhermitte, S., & Broeke, M. R. van den. (2019). Rapid ablation zone expansion amplifies north Greenland mass loss. *Science Advances*, 5(9), eaaw0123. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw0123>

- Noël, Brice, Kampenhout, L. van, Berg, W. J. van de, Lenaerts, J. T. M., Wouters, B., & Broeke, M. R. van den. (2020). Brief communication: CESM2 climate forcing (1950–2014) yields realistic Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance. *The Cryosphere*, 14(4), 1425–1435. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1425-2020>
- O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., Vuuren, D. P. van, Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., et al. (2016). The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(9), 3461–3482. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016>
- Oppenheimer, M., Glavovic, B. C., Hinkel, J., van de Wal, R., Magnan, A. K., Abd-Elgawad, A., et al. (2019). Sea Level Rise and Implications for Low-Lying Islands, Coasts and Communities. In: *IPCC Special Report on "The Oceans and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate" (SROCC)*, 126.
- Pattyn, F., Ritz, C., Hanna, E., Asay-Davis, X., DeConto, R., Durand, G., et al. (2018). The Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets under 1.5 °C global warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(12), 1053. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0305-8>
- Rae, J. G. L., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., Edwards, T. L., Fettweis, X., Gregory, J. M., Hewitt, H. T., et al. (2012). Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance: evaluating simulations and making projections with regional climate models. *The Cryosphere*, 6(6), 1275–1294. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1275-2012>
- Ridley, J., Gregory, J. M., Huybrechts, P., & Lowe, J. (2010). Thresholds for irreversible decline of the Greenland ice sheet. *Climate Dynamics*, 35(6), 1049–1057. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-009-0646-0>
- Robinson, A., Calov, R., & Ganopolski, A. (2012). Multistability and critical thresholds of the Greenland ice sheet. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(6), 429–432. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1449>
- Straneo, F., Hamilton, G., Stearns, L., & Sutherland, D. (2016). Connecting the Greenland Ice Sheet and the Ocean: A Case Study of Helheim Glacier and Sermilik Fjord. *Oceanography*, 29(4), 34–45. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.97>
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., & Meehl, G. A. (2011). An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 93(4), 485–498. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1>
- Tedesco, M., & Fettweis, X. (2020). Unprecedented atmospheric conditions (1948–2019) drive the 2019 exceptional melting season over the Greenland ice sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 14(4), 1209–1223. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-2020>
- Uppala, S. M., Kållberg, P. W., Simmons, A. J., Andrae, U., Bechtold, V. D. C., Fiorino, M., et al. (2005). The ERA-40 re-analysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 131(612), 2961–3012. <https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.04.176>
- van Kampenhout, L., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lipscomb, W. H., Lhermitte, S., Noël, B., Vizcaíno, M., et al. (2020). Present-Day Greenland Ice Sheet Climate and Surface Mass Balance in CESM2. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 125(2), e2019JF005318. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005318>

Accepted Article

Wouters, B., Bamber, J. L., Broeke, M. R. van den, Lenaerts, J. T. M., & Sasgen, I. (2013). Limits in detecting acceleration of ice sheet mass loss due to climate variability. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(8), 613. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1874>

Zelinka, M. D., Myers, T. A., McCoy, D. T., Po-Chedley, S., Caldwell, P. M., Ceppi, P., et al. (2020). Causes of Higher Climate Sensitivity in CMIP6 Models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(1), e2019GL085782. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085782>

**Fig. 1: A regional warming threshold for negative Greenland surface mass balance.** (a) Five-year moving average of GrIS SMB for RACMO2 ERA ('observations', green), CESM2 historical (HIST, grey), RACMO2 SSP5-8.5 (black), and CESM2 scenario runs (colours, see legend). Numbers in parentheses indicate number of ensemble members, coloured bands span maximum and minimum ensemble value. The 2014/2015 gap in the reconstructed time series is a plotting artefact from the transition from historical (1950-2014) to future (2015-2099) climate scenarios. (b) Five-year average SMB values (1950-2100) plotted as a function of the anomaly (relative to 1850-1899) of annual mean near surface temperature averaged over Greenland ( $T_{\text{GrIS}}$ , see Methods), including quadratic fit statistics. Colours are the same as in (a). See Table 1 for experiment names.

**Fig. 2: State of the Greenland ice sheet for SMB = 0.** Five-year averaged specific surface mass balance (units  $\text{mm w.e. year}^{-1}$ ) from RACMO2 at 1 km resolution with the accumulation zone (where annual snow accumulation exceeds runoff) in blue and ablation zone (where runoff exceeds snow accumulation) in red. Shown are the years 2051-2055 during which the warming threshold for SMB = 0 is first reached. The thick black line represents the average equilibrium line altitude (ELA) for the contemporary climate (1950-1990), separating the accumulation and ablation zones.

**Fig. 3: Multi-model estimate of regional Greenland warming under multiple scenario projections.** Five-year simple moving average of the anomaly (relative to 1850-1899) of near-surface temperature averaged over Greenland ( $T_{\text{GrIS}}$ ). Presented are the model ensemble means (solid lines) and model ensemble standard deviation (shading) for all available CMIP5 (a) and CMIP6 (b) models, and three emission scenarios. Number of models included is listed in the legend as  $n$ . The green solid line represents a hybrid reanalysis temperature product for comparison, which has been aligned to the CMIP data over the period 1958-1977 (see Supporting Information) and the inferred GrIS warming threshold is shown as a horizontal red bar.

**Fig. 4: A global warming threshold for Greenland SMB = 0.** Correlation of anomalies in mean near-surface Greenland ( $T_{\text{GrIS}}$ ) and global ( $T_{\text{global}}$ ) temperature for CMIP5 (a) and CMIP6 (b) models. Shown are five-year block averages over the period 1900-2100 relative to the period 1850-1899. Vertical red line indicates the Greenland SMB = 0 warming threshold, horizontal red line the associated global warming threshold.



Name	Period	Native resolution	Type	Ensemble members	Forcing data	SMB downscaling	Output used	Corrected SMB	Reference
<b>RACMO2 ERA</b>	1958-2018	5.5 km	regional climate model (RACMO2.3p2)	1	ERA40 (1958-1978); ERA-Interim (1979-2018)	Elevation-based to 1 km	SMB (benchmark)	-	Noël et al., 2020
<b>RACMO2 SSP5-85</b>	1950-2100	11 km	idem	1	CESM2 with SSP5-8.5 after 2015	Elevation-based to 1 km	SMB components	-	This paper
<b>CESM2 HIST</b>	1850-2015	~1 degree	Earth System Model (CESM2.1)	11		Elevation classes to 4 km	SMB components, $T_{GIS}$	Yes, see Fig. S1	van Kampenhout et al., 2020
<b>CESM2 SSP1-2.6</b>	2015-2100	~1 degree	idem	2	-	Elevation classes to 4 km	SMB components, $T_{GIS}$	Yes, see Fig. S1	Idem
<b>CESM2 SSP2-4.5</b>	2015-2100	~1 degree	idem	3	-	Elevation classes to 4 km	SMB components, $T_{GIS}$	Yes, see Fig. S1	Idem
<b>CESM2 SSP5-8.5</b>	2015-2100	~1 degree	idem	2	-	Elevation classes to 4 km	SMB components, $T_{GIS}$	Yes, see Fig. S1	idem
<b>CMIP5 rcp2.6</b>	1850-2100	~1 degree	CMIP5 multi-model mean	27 models, see Table S3	-	-	$T_{GIS}$ and $T_{global}$	-	Taylor et al, 2011
<b>CMIP5 rcp4.5</b>	1850-2100	~1 degree	idem	40 models, see Table S3	-	-	$T_{GIS}$ and $T_{global}$	-	idem
<b>CMIP5 rcp8.5</b>	1850-2100	~1 degree	idem	40 models, see Table S3	-	-	$T_{GIS}$ and $T_{global}$	-	idem
<b>CMIP6 ssp1-2.6</b>	1850-2100	~1 degree	CMIP6 multi-model mean	14 models, see Table S4	-	-	$T_{GIS}$ and $T_{global}$	-	Eyring et al, 2016
<b>CMIP6 ssp2-4.5</b>	1850-2100	~1 degree	idem	15 models, see Table S4	-	-	$T_{GIS}$ and $T_{global}$	-	idem
<b>CMIP6 ssp5-8.5</b>	1850-2100	~1 degree	idem	15 models, see Table S4	-	-	$T_{GIS}$ and $T_{global}$	-	idem

<b>Hybrid Reanalysis Product</b>	1958- 2019	~80 km	ERA40 (1958-1978); ERA-Interim (1979- 2018)		-	-	$T_{\text{ers}}$ (benchmark)	-	Uppala et al., 2005  Dee et al., 2011
--	---------------	--------	---	--	---	---	---------------------------------	---	--

**Table 1: Overview of datasets used in this paper.** Note that not all CMIP models start exactly in 1850, which means a slightly different reference period may have been used for calculating anomalies (see Supporting Information).

Accepted Article









